Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/991,781	STIESDAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Verdier	3745	

All Participants:

(1) *Christopher Verdier*.

(2) *Meera Narasimhan, Attorney of Record*.

Status of Application: *pending*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *6 May 2005*

Time: *1:00 pm*

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
17-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CHRISTOPHER VERDIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the specification does not explicitly give a definition for the "means for connecting the serrated panel to a trailing edge on each of the wind turbine rotor blades of the wind turbine rotor" as recited in claim 17, lines 5-7. The examiner proposed during the telephone interview that the specification be amended on page 10, line 4 by inserting after "with", the phrase -- means for connecting the serrated panels to each blade in the form of --, in order to provide a one-to-one correspondence between the claimed means-plus-function subject matter and the specification, so that the application would be allowed. The examiner was requested to send out an Office action if the Applicants did not give their approval.